



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,999	09/26/2003	Corey Billington	10017469-1	9772	
22879 759 HEWLETT PACE	01/04/2007 KARD COMPANY	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			JERABEK	JERABEK, KELLY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
		·.	•		
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONT	THS	01/04/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/672,999 Examiner	BILLINGTON ET AL.	
,		Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Kelly L. Jerabek	correspondence address	
Period for Reply	rears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square objed drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-12, 18-19, 21 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hinman et al. US 5,940,049.

Re claims 1 and 8, Hinman discloses a method of displaying a digital real-time image of an object (13) to one or more viewers, the method comprising: positioning the object (13) on a substantially flat writing surface; orienting a digital camera (15) toward the object (13) on the substantially flat writing surface digitally capturing a real-time image of the object (13) with the digital camera (15) (col. 3, lines 25-50); transferring the

real-time image to a computer (motherboard 21) operatively coupled to the digital camera (15); processing the real-time image with a processor in the computer (21) (col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 13); transferring the real-time image from the computer (21) directly to a digital projector (29,39) operatively coupled to the computer (21); and projecting the real-time image of the object (13) on a projection surface (49) with the digital projector (29,39) (col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 20; figures 1,2,7).

Re claims 2 and 9, Hinman discloses modifying the object (13) with writing indicia (stylus 45) while projecting the real-time image with modifications to the object (13) (col. 3, line 61-col. 4, line 20).

Re claims 3 and 10, Hinman states that a user may press store pad (71) in order to digitally take a picture of the real-time image with modifications to the object (13) (col. 4, lines 52-56).

Re claims 4 and 11, Hinman states that a captured picture image is saved to a memory (26) in computer (21) (col. 3, lines 48-52; col. 4, lines 52-56).

Re claims 5 and 7, Hinman states that a user may use pads (71-84) in order to prompt the digital camera (15) to take a picture image (col. 4, line 52-col. 5, line 37). Therefore, since pads (71-84) are used to initiate an image capture it can be seen that

the computer (21) must include camera software. Without camera software a capture operation could not be initiated.

Re claim 6, Hinman shows a swivel portion (bottom part) on the arm holding camera (15), lamp (17), mirror (47) and lens (48) (figure 7). Therefore, Hinman discloses an extension member (arm) that is coupled to a digital camera (15) and is movable in order to properly orient or position the digital camera (15).

Re claims 12 and 23, Hinman discloses a presentation system (11) configured to project an image of an object to a projection surface (49), the presentation system (11) comprising: a computer (21) having a processor operable to process a digital real-time image; a digital camera (15) operatively coupled to the computer (21), the digital camera (15) operable to be oriented toward a desired object (13) to digitally capture a real-time image of the desired object (13) and operable to transfer the real-time image to the computer (21) to process the real-time image (col. 3, line 25-col. 4, line 13; figure 7); and a digital projector (29,39) operatively coupled to the computer (21), the digital projector (29,39) operable to receive the real-time image directly form the computer (21) and operable to project the real-time image to the projection surface (49) on an enlargeable scale for viewing by one or more viewers (col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 20; figures 1,2,7).

Re claim 18, Hinman states that the computer (21) includes a memory (26). Additionally, Hinman states that a user may use pads (71-84) in order to prompt the digital camera (15) to take a picture image (col. 4, line 52-col. 5, line 37). Therefore, since pads (71-84) are used to initiate an image capture it can be seen that the computer (21) must include camera software. Without camera software a capture operation could not be initiated.

Re claim 19, the computer (21) disclosed by Hinman is part of the presentation system (11) therefore it is a desktop computer (col. 3, lines 48-52; figure 7).

Re claim 21, Hinman states that the computer (21) includes image rendering ability to digitally receive and process a real-time image and transfer it to a digital projector (29,39) (col. 3, line 48-col. 4, line 32).

Re claim 24, Hinman shows a swivel portion (bottom part) and an arm holding camera (15), lamp (17), mirror (47) and lens (48) (figure 7). Therefore, Hinman discloses a stabilizing means (arm) for stabilizing the digital camera (15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2622

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinman et al. in view of Clapp et al. US 5,374,971.

Re claim 13, Hinman discloses all of the limitations of claim 12 above. However, although the Hinman reference discloses a camera mount it fails to specifically state that the camera (15) is capable of being removed from the mount.

Clapp discloses a camera stand for mounting a video camera capable of capturing an image of a document. Clapp discloses a camera carrier (100) having a base portion (320) and a camera mount portion (350,370), the base portion (320) operable to stabilize the camera carrier (100) and the camera mount portion (350, 370) operable to removably mount a digital camera (180) (col. 3, line 22-col. 4, line 6; figures 3a,3b,4). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to have been motivated to include a camera carrier including a base portion and a camera mount portion for removably mounting a camera as disclosed by Clapp in the presentation system disclosed by Hinman. Doing so would provide a means for mounting a camera in a position to effectively capture an image of a document and also allowing a user to remove the camera from the mount.

Art Unit: 2622

Re claim 14, Clapp further discloses an extension member (310) positioned between the base portion (320) and the camera mount portion (350,370) and operable to orient and position the digital camera (180) to digitally capture an image of a desired object (col. 3, line 22-col. 4, line 6; figures 3a,3b,4).

Re claim 15, Clapp shows that the base portion (320 comprises a platform member operable to sit on a flat surface to stabilize the camera carrier (100) (col. 3, lines 22-41; figures 1-4).

Re claims 16 and 17, Clapp states in a further embodiment that a portion of the base portion (turntable joint 150) comprises a clamping member (that includes a spring) operable to clamp to a stabilized object (690) to stabilize the digital camera (180) (col. 4, lines 27-64; figures 5-6b).

Re claim 22, Hinman discloses all of the limitations of claim 12 above. However, although the Hinman reference discloses a camera mount it fails to specifically state that the camera (15) is a digital video camera.

Clapp discloses a camera stand for mounting a video camera capable of capturing an image of a document. Clapp discloses a camera carrier (100) having a base portion (320) and a camera mount portion (350,370), the base portion (320) operable to stabilize the camera carrier (100) and the camera mount portion (350, 370) operable to removably mount a digital video camera (180) (col. 3, line 22-col. 4, line 6;

figures 3a,3b,4). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to have been motivated to include a camera carrier including a base portion and a camera mount portion for removably mounting a video camera as disclosed by Clapp in the presentation system disclosed by Hinman. Doing so would provide a means for mounting a camera in a position to effectively capture an image of a document and also allowing a user to remove the camera from the mount.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinman et al. in view of Loritz et al. US 5,748,441.

Re claim 20, Hinman discloses all of the limitations of claim 12 above. However, although the Hinman reference discloses a camera mount it fails to specifically state that the camera mount is formed of a flexible material operable to orient and stabilize the camera in a desired orientation.

Loritz discloses a video camera that is housed inside a notepad type computer.

Loritz discloses a camera carrier (17) integrally formed with an electrical coupler (wiring for camera 16) operable to couple with the notepad computer, the camera carrier (17) being coupled to a digital camera (16) and formed from a flexible material capable of orienting and stabilizing the digital camera (16) in a desired position (col. 4, lines 3-30; figures 2-7). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to have been motivated to include a camera carrier formed from a flexible material as disclosed by Loritz in the presentation system disclosed by Hinman. Doing so would provide a

means for allowing a user to bend a camera mount in order to position a camera in a position to capture an image of a document.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Slatter et al. (US 6,540,415) discloses a document capture stand. The information regarding a stand for holding a camera for capturing images of documents is relevant material.

Tseng et al. (US 2002/0092130) discloses a hinge structure of an adjustable arm.

The information regarding a stand for holding a camera for capturing images of documents is relevant material.

Morichika (US 7,129,974) discloses a camera system that obtains information for performing distortion correction processing. The information regarding image capturing an projection is relevant material.

Wada (US 2003/0222989) discloses an image pickup apparatus and image pickup display system. The information regarding image capturing an projection is relevant material.

Baron (US 2005/0140777) discloses a dataconferencing system and method.

The information regarding image capturing an projection is relevant material.

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly L. Jerabek whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7312**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for submitting all Official communications is (703) 872-9306. The fax phone number for submitting informal communications such as drafts, proposed amendments, etc., may be faxed directly to the Examiner at (571) 273-7312.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2622

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 11